

Phase II and Phase III Project Cover Sheet

All information contained within the individual site database and inventory sheets is solely the work of the researchers and authors noted below. The data provided has been culled from the original site reports noted below and in many cases has been lifted directly from them with little or no editing. The database and inventory sheets are meant to serve as a synopsis of the report findings and a finding aid and are not intended to replace or republish the research of the authors noted below.

REPORT INFORMATION:

1986 Israel, S. and R. Wall

Archeological Investigation of the Richard Young Shoreline Property for Bulkhead and Tie-back Construction, Wicomico Creek, Wicomico County, Maryland - The Potts Site: 18WC25.

Submitted to the Operations Division of the US Army Corps of Engineers

Library ID No: 00005110

Catalog/Shelving ID: WC 16

Research Firm/Institution:

US Army Corps of Engineers - Baltimore District

P.O. Box 1715

Baltimore, MD 21203

Sites examined:

18WC25

Project Details:

Phase I

Phase II

Phase III



Project Justification:

This report describes an archeological investigation of the Potts Site (18WC25) in December of 1985 and March of 1986 by the US Army Corps of Engineers (COE) – Baltimore District. At the time, the shoreline site was threatened by the proposed construction of a bulkhead and tie-back for erosion control. The investigation was undertaken as the result of a letter from the Maryland Historical Trust (MHT) to the COE which indicated that the previously investigated Potts Site was within the area of the planned construction.

MAC Accession: 1989.008.001

Project Objectives:

-Define the nature, extent, and potential significance of the prehistoric cultural resources at 18WC25.

-Determine if there is a need for more detailed cultural investigation at the site.

Research Potential:

The material culture from Site 18WC25, situated on a slight rise above the bank of Wicomico Creek is indicative of Late Woodland prehistoric sites and principal features. Testing has provided sufficient data for National Register eligibility. It is highly probable that portions of the site were not impacted by the bulkhead construction of the 1980s. Thus, 18WC25 should still be considered a significant archeological resource.