

Phase II and Phase III Project Cover Sheet

All information contained within the individual site database and inventory sheets is solely the work of the researchers and authors noted below. The data provided has been culled from the original site reports noted below and in many cases has been lifted directly from them with little or no editing. The database and inventory sheets are meant to serve as a synopsis of the report findings and a finding aid and are not intended to replace or republish the research of the authors noted below.

REPORT INFORMATION:

2000 Danz, J. et. al.
Phase I Archaeological and Architectural Investigations for the James Rumsey Bridge, WV
480 and MD 34, Shepherdstown, WV and Washington County, MD.
Submitted to the West Virginia Department of Transportation

Library ID No: 97000280 Catalog/Shelving ID: WA 94

Research Firm/Institution:

Michael Baker Jr., Inc.
5088 West Washington Street
Charleston, WV 25313

Sites examined:

18WA486

Project Details:

Phase I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Project Justification: The report describes Phase I archeological investigations and historic resources survey in 1999 in association with the proposed James Rumsey Bridge replacement project. The replacement project was being carried out by the West Virginia Department of Transportation in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended) and its implementing regulations.
Phase II	<input type="checkbox"/>	
Phase III	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Project Objectives: -Identify the historic properties in the project's Area of Potential Effects (APE).

Research Potential:

See below for remaining research questions at 18WA486.

REPORT INFORMATION:

2002 Kender, C.M., R. Ezell, and J.J. Danz
Phase II Archaeological Investigations: Determination of Eligibility Report for the Lock 38 Site, James Rumsey Bridge Replacement Project.
Submitted to the West Virginia Department of Transportation

Library ID No: 97001553 Catalog/Shelving ID: WA 115

Research Firm/Institution:

Michael Baker Jr., Inc.
5088 West Washington Street
Charleston, WV 25313

Sites examined:

18WA486

Project Details:

Phase I	<input type="checkbox"/>	Project Justification: This report describes Phase II investigations at Lock 38 (18WA486) of the C&O Canal in Washington County between July and September of 2001. The work was carried out in association with the (then) proposed replacement of the James Rumsey Bridge (Shepherdstown Bridge), a continuous 6 span bridge built in 1939 that crosses the Potomac River between Jefferson County, WV and Washington County, MD. The replacement project was being carried out by the West Virginia Department of Transportation in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended) and its implementing regulations. Phase II work occurred in areas within the site boundary where subsurface disturbance related to construction of the replacement bridge would occur.
Phase II	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
Phase III	<input type="checkbox"/>	

Project Objectives: -Define the Lock 38 site boundaries and its corresponding components within the project's APE in terms of the horizontal and vertical limits of the archeological deposits present. -Identify and assess the archeological resources within the James Rumsey Bridge project area in regards to the activities, functions, timespan, and historic contexts that are represented. -Decisively evaluate the eligibility of the property for the national Register or the Maryland Register, as appropriate, and according to proper criteria. -Determine the impact potential the proposed bridge replacement project and all of the corresponding construction activities will have on the archeological property within the APE with reference to the federal Criteria of Effect and Adverse Effect or the State Criteria of Effect and Adverse Effect for Federal and State properties. -Assess the need for additional archeological investigation of the property.
--

Research Potential:

The portions of the site examined in 2001 were recommended as ineligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. Other portions of this very large site may, however, be in a less disturbed state. The site should still be considered a potentially significant archeological resource.
