

Phase II and Phase III Project Cover Sheet

All information contained within the individual site database and inventory sheets is solely the work of the researchers and authors noted below. The data provided has been culled from the original site reports noted below and in many cases has been lifted directly from them with little or no editing. The database and inventory sheets are meant to serve as a synopsis of the report findings and a finding aid and are not intended to replace or republish the research of the authors noted below.

REPORT INFORMATION:

2000 Lewthwaite, S., G. Gwiazda, and J. McCarthy
Glenbrook Development Phase II Archaeological Evaluation of Site 18FR739.
Submitted to Natelli Communities
Library ID No: 97000231 Catalog/Shelving ID: FR 148

Research Firm/Institution:
Greenhome & O'Mara, Inc.
9001 Edmonston Road
Greenbelt, MD 20770

Sites examined:

18FR739

NRHP Eligible: N

[Justification](#)

Project Details:

Phase I

Phase II

Phase III

Project Justification:

In 1998, a Phase I survey was conducted in the vicinity of 18FR739 in preparation for the construction of a new housing development on what was previously agricultural land and floodplain. This site (and several others) were identified and 18FR739 was recommended as potentially eligible for NRHP nomination. The location of the project area within a wetlands area necessitated the issuance of a US Army Corps of Engineers wetlands impact permit. The corps required that Phase II testing be completed to determine whether the site was actually National Register eligible, as construction impacts at the site could not be avoided.

MAC Accession: 2001.050

Project Objectives:

-Determine if site 18FR739 is eligible for the National Register of Historic Places.

-Fulfill the requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended.

Research Potential:

Site 18FR739 is an approximately 155 meter squared prehistoric artifact scatter restricted to the Ap Horizon. Tools and debitage were widely scattered across the site with no evident patterning. No cultural features were found during fieldwork. The lack of concentrations of diagnostic artifacts and debitage prevents any correlation between the debitage and occupations associated with specific cultural or temporal affiliations. Therefore, the site does not have the potential to contribute to our understanding of prehistory.