

# Phase II and Phase III Project Cover Sheet

All information contained within the individual site database and inventory sheets is solely the work of the researchers and authors noted below. The data provided has been culled from the original site reports noted below and in many cases has been lifted directly from them with little or no editing. The database and inventory sheets are meant to serve as a synopsis of the report findings and a finding aid and are not intended to replace or republish the research of the authors noted below.

**REPORT INFORMATION:**

1992 Payne, T. M., K. Baumgardt, and S. N. Hack  
 Phase I Cultural Resources Survey, Red Run Boulevard, Baltimore County, Maryland.  
 Submitted to Rummel, Klepper & Kahl  
 Library ID No: 00005491      Catalog/Shelving ID: BA 81

Research Firm/Institution:

MAAR Associates, Inc.  
 P.O. Box 655  
 Newark, DE 19715

Sites examined:

18BA409  
 NRHP Eligible:  N  
[justification](#)

Project Details:

Phase I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Project Justification:</b>
Phase II		This report details a Phase I cultural resources survey that was undertaken in 1991 for the proposed 5.15 km-long (3.2 mile) Red Run Boulevard. It was during the survey that Site 18BA409 was first identified.
Phase III		

<b>Project Objectives:</b>
-Identify recorded and new sites within the project area
-Evaluate the potential for each resource to be nominated to the National Register of Historic Places

MAC Accession: 1993.052

Research Potential:

See below for remaining research questions at 18BA409.

**REPORT INFORMATION:**

1995 Hoffman, R. F. and B. C. Zebooker  
 A Phase I/II Archaeological Survey of the Proposed Red Run Boulevard Located Near Owings Mills, Baltimore County, Maryland.  
 Submitted to Rummel, Klepper & Kahl  
 Library ID No: 00005528      Catalog/Shelving ID: BA 116

Research Firm/Institution:

MAAR Associates, Inc.  
 9 Liberty Plaza  
 Newark, DE 19715

Sites examined:

18BA409  
 NRHP Eligible:  N  
[justification](#)

Project Details:

Phase I	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Project Justification:</b>
Phase II	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	In 1995, additional Phase I and II investigations were undertaken along the length of the proposed Red Run Boulevard. A look at the maps from the earlier Phase I study and from this Phase I/II study suggest that the proposed alignment was shifted between 1991 and 1995 necessitated further investigations of the area of potential effect. The investigations included a Phase I survey of all the undisturbed portions of the 36.58 meter (120 ft) wide corridor and a Phase II investigation at 18BA409.
Phase III		

<b>Project Objectives:</b>
-Identify the boundaries of the site
-Identify likely activity areas located within those boundaries
-Determine if the resource maintains any stratigraphic integrity
-Make an assessment of the research potential of the site
-Present recommendations for Phase III evaluation as needed

MAC Accession: 1998.007

Research Potential:

Historic Site #1 (18BA409), also known as the Hoff Site, is a multicomponent site with evidence for prehistoric and historic occupation. The prehistoric component was tentatively dated to the Middle Woodland period based on the recovery of a single diagnostic, a Fox Creek point. This was likely to be a short-term hunting camp, possibly a procurement and processing site. Testing indicated that the site contained undisturbed and patterned historic period archeological deposits representing the in-ground remains of a late 18th to early 19th century tenancy/farmstead. The artifactual evidence indicated that dates for occupation ranged from ca. AD 1775-1825. As the site was determined to exhibit stratigraphic integrity, it was suggested that the site had further research potential for providing information on the architecture and economics of early European settlement of Maryland's Piedmont province. For these reasons, staff at the Maryland Historical Trust believed that site 18BA409 was eligible for listing to the National Register of Historic Places under the requirements associated with Criterion D. It was recommended that the project sponsor consider avoidance of the site is possible. If not, then a Data

Recovery plan was suggested prior to the construction of the proposed road.

---