

Phase II and Phase III Project Cover Sheet

All information contained within the individual site database and inventory sheets is solely the work of the researchers and authors noted below. The data provided has been culled from the original site reports noted below and in many cases has been lifted directly from them with little or no editing. The database and inventory sheets are meant to serve as a synopsis of the report findings and a finding aid and are not intended to replace or republish the research of the authors noted below.

REPORT INFORMATION:

2012 Katz, G., C. LeeDecker, and S. Groesbeck
Phase II Investigation of "Porter's Folly" (Site 18AP77), Naval Support Activity Annapolis,
U.S. Naval Academy, Anne Arundel County, Maryland.
Submitted to the Department of the Navy, Naval Facilities Engineering Command

Library ID No: 95001618 Catalog/Shelving ID: AP 117

Research Firm/Institution:

The Louis Berger Group, Inc.
1250 23rd Street, NW
Washington, DC 20037

Sites examined:

18AP88

Project Details:

Phase I

Phase II

Phase III

Project Justification:

This report describes a 2011 Phase II investigation of 18AP77 at Naval Support Activity Annapolis, at the US Naval Academy. The survey was conducted as part of compliance efforts for Sections 106 and 110 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended. At the time, the Navy was proposing to expand a water treatment plant, and parts of Site 18AP77 would be impacted by the proposed construction.

MAC Accession: 2012.044.001

Project Objectives:

- Conduct archival background research and field investigation.
- Determine the nature, extent, and significance of Site 18AP77.
- If significant deposits are identified, determine if said deposits extend into the area of impacts from the proposed construction.

Research Potential:

Based on the findings of this study, it was determined that Site 18AP77 had compromised integrity and no significant information potential. The site offers no significant information on the lives of the hospital patients or staff, and no significant information on the workers who constructed the hospital. No refuse deposits were found that might illuminate life in the hospital, and the evidence suggests that such deposits are likely not preserved at the site. The site does contain intact structural remains in some areas, but the building details are reasonably well known from plans and photographs. The Phase II investigation of the site did yield previously unknown details on the building foundation, and on architectural elements of the building, but this information is not historically significant.