

Phase II and Phase III Project Cover Sheet

All information contained within the individual site database and inventory sheets is solely the work of the researchers and authors noted below. The data provided has been culled from the original site reports noted below and in many cases has been lifted directly from them with little or no editing. The database and inventory sheets are meant to serve as a synopsis of the report findings and a finding aid and are not intended to replace or republish the research of the authors noted below.

REPORT INFORMATION:

1997 Ballweber, H.L.
Phase I Archaeological Survey of the Shipley's Retreat Subdivision (Pumphrey II Property),
and Phase II Testing of Site 18AN1052, Anne Arundel County, Maryland.
Submitted to Chisholm-Weber Associates

Research Firm/Institution:

ACS Consultants
PO Box 1227
Columbia, MD 21044

Library ID No: 00005267 Catalog/Shelving ID: AN 343

Sites examined:

18CV1052

Project Details:

Phase I

Phase II

Phase III

Project Justification:

The report provides the findings of a Phase I archeological survey of the Shipley's Retreat Subdivision, proposed for residential development near the town of Millersville in Anne Arundel County. The work was completed in compliance with Article 26, Title 3-109 of the Anne Arundel County Subdivision Regulations, as the Anne Arundel County Archeologist had designated it for survey because of its potential to contain prehistoric deposits. Survey led to the identification of 1 site (18CV1052), which proceeded immediately to Phase II testing.

Project Objectives:

Phase I
-Locate cultural resources within the project boundaries.
-Make recommendations, if need be, to evaluate their significance.

Phase II
-Determine Site limits.
-Determine extent of disturbance/impact and evaluate site integrity.
-Assess site structure and function.
-Determine historical context.
-Assess site stratigraphy.
-Evaluate research potential and site significance.

Research Potential:

The Darley site was determined to be a heavily disturbed short-term camp with Early Woodland and possibly Archaic occupations. It is unlikely that further research at 18AN1052 would appreciably refine the pattern of cultural deposition at the site. It was postulated that the fields surrounding the site were intermittently prehistorically occupied and the site constitutes one of these occupations. Little can be gained by collecting additional artifacts from a disturbed context. For these reasons the site is not considered culturally significant or capable of answering ongoing research questions related to Maryland prehistory.