

# Phase II and Phase III Project Cover Sheet

All information contained within the individual site database and inventory sheets is solely the work of the researchers and authors noted below. The data provided has been culled from the original site reports noted below and in many cases has been lifted directly from them with little or no editing. The database and inventory sheets are meant to serve as a synopsis of the report findings and a finding aid and are not intended to replace or republish the research of the authors noted below.

## REPORT INFORMATION:

2001 McCarthy, J.P. and J.A. Ward

Phase I & II Archaeological Investigations at Holladay Park (Subdivision #93-051), Anne Arundel County, Maryland.

Submitted to South Shore Development Company

Library ID No: 97002344

Catalog/Shelving ID: AN 475

## Research Firm/Institution:

Applied Archaeology and History Associates, Inc.

615 Fairglen Lane

Annapolis, MD 21401

## Sites examined:

18AN1073

18AN1076

18AN1077

## Project Details:

Phase I

### Project Justification:

This report describes the findings of a Phase I survey conducted in 1998 and a subsequent Phase II study in 2001 of a residential development property in Anne Arundel County. Article 26, Title 3-109 of the Anne Arundel County Subdivision Regulations requires consideration for archeological resources as part of the subdivision review process. Proposed subdivision properties that the county archeologist deems to have a high potential for containing archeological sites may be subjected to systematic survey and documentation at the request of the county. The 1998 survey examined a horseshoe-shaped series of terraces on a 141.5 acre property near the confluence of Jabez branch and some tributary streams. Three of these sites were investigated at the Phase II level in 2001.

Phase II

Phase III

### Project Objectives:

-Report the results of the Phase I survey performed in 1998.

-Recover a sample of the archeological resources from each of three sites determined to be potentially significant in 1998.

-Determine the research potential and significance of each site.

-Make appropriate future management recommendations for each site.

## Research Potential:

No evidence of intact features was found at 18AN1073. All cultural material was recovered from disturbed plowzone soils. That being the case, it appears that an adequate sample of the material remains of the site has been recovered and that the site retains no significant additional research potential.

Site 18AN1076 likely was used as a short-term camp during a seasonal round of subsistence activities (most likely hunting) during the Late Archaic period. No evidence of intact features was found at the site. All cultural material was recovered from disturbed plowzone soils. That being the case, it appears that an adequate sample of the material remains of the site has been recovered and that the site retains no significant additional research potential.

Site 18AN1077 likely was used as a prehistoric short-term camp during a seasonal round of subsistence activities (most likely hunting). No evidence of intact features was found at the site, nor were any diagnostic artifacts recovered. All cultural material was recovered from disturbed plowzone soils. That being the case, it appears that an adequate sample of the material remains of the site has been recovered and that the site retains no significant additional research potential.