

# Phase II and Phase III Project Cover Sheet

All information contained within the individual site database and inventory sheets is solely the work of the researchers and authors noted below. The data provided has been culled from the original site reports noted below and in many cases has been lifted directly from them with little or no editing. The database and inventory sheets are meant to serve as a synopsis of the report findings and a finding aid and are not intended to replace or republish the research of the authors noted below.

## REPORT INFORMATION:

1999 Ballweber, H.L.  
Phase I and Phase II Archaeological Studies at the WHA Property (Crofton Colony Subdivision), Anne Arundel County, Maryland.  
Submitted to G.W. Koch Associates, Inc.

## Research Firm/Institution:

ACS Consultants  
6654 Gilardi Road  
Boonsboro, MD 21713

Library ID No: 97000144      Catalog/Shelving ID: AN 378

## Sites examined:

18AN1105      18AN1111

## Project Details:

Phase I

Phase II

Phase III

### Project Justification:

This report contains the results of a Phase I survey and Phase II testing on the WHA Property, a parcel of land proposed for the Crofton Colony residential development project, located near the towns of Millersville, Crofton, and Crownsville in Anne Arundel County, Maryland. The work was completed for legal compliance with Article 26, Title 3-109 of the Anne Arundel County Subdivision Regulations, which requires consideration for archeological resources as part of the subdivision review process.

### Project Objectives:

#### Phase I

-Locate prehistoric and historic archeological resources within the impact area for the Crofton Colony subdivision.

#### Phase II

-Determine site limits – delineate site boundaries by examining the locations and concentrations of artifacts.

-Determine the extent of impact – evaluate the extent of impact to the site from historic activities, such as plowing and erosion.

-Assess site structure – collect artifacts so that distributions of artifact classes can be examined for possible activity areas.

-Assess site function – examine through artifact classes and clusters what the major activities were at the site and ultimately, if possible, ascertain site function.

-Determine historical context – recover a sample of diagnostic artifacts to determine the time period(s) of occupation.

-Assess site stratigraphy – record soil stratigraphy to determine the preservation of features, determine the nature of soil deposition and/or development, and observe the context of artifacts.

-Evaluate site integrity – determine the extent of preservation of the cultural remains recovered.

-Evaluate research potential – determine through the comparison of the project site with other known sites in the area, the scarcity of the resource and its potential for adding important information to the existing regional archeological database.

-Evaluate site significance – in concert with the above objectives, evaluate the cultural significance of the site.

## Research Potential:

It is unlikely that additional research at 18AN1105 would be fruitful. The sparse number of artifacts, lack of diagnostics, and the absence of cultural features collectively diminish the site's potential to contribute substantive data to regional prehistoric studies. Site 18AN1105 should not be considered a significant archeological resource.

It is unlikely that additional research at 18AN1111 would be fruitful. The sparse number of artifacts, lack of diagnostics, and the absence of cultural features collectively diminish the site's potential to contribute substantive data to regional prehistoric studies. Site 18AN1111 should not be considered a significant archeological resource.